Scientific paper (Preliminary note)

Primljen / Received: 21.9.2012. Ispravljen / Corrected: 19.2.2013. Prihvaćen / Accepted: 21.2.2013. Dostupno online / Available online: 10.5.2013.

# Railway embankment design based on neural networks

## Authors:



Prof. Gökmen Tayfur, PhD. CE Izmir Institute of Technology Department of Civil Engineering gokmentayfur@iyte.edu.tr



Prof. **isfendiyar Egeli**, PhD. CE Izmir Institute of Technoloqy Department of Civil Engineering <u>isfendiyaregeli@iyte.edu.tr</u>

## <u>Gökmen Tayfur, İsfendiyar Egeli</u>

# Railway embankment design based on neural networks

The main requirements in the design of high-speed railway embankments are low values of total differential settlements. The use of cement stabilized layers in embankments, as compared to non-stabilized layers that are now most often in use, is analysed in the paper. Different cement proportions and different water/cement ratios for stabilized layers are considered. It was established that the use of cement stabilized layers in embankments can greatly reduce thickness of individual layers, while also reducing settlement. The research was conducted using the feed forward neural network.

#### Key words:

high speed railways, railway embankments, feed forward neural network

Znanstveni rad (Prethodno priopćenje)

## Gökmen Tayfur, İsfendiyar Egeli

## Projektiranje nasipa željezničkih pruga primjenom neuronskih mreža

Osnovni zahtjev pri projektiranju nasipa željezničkih pruga za velike brzine su male vrijednosti ukupnih diferencijalnih slijeganja. U radu se analizira primjena cementom stabiliziranih slojeva u nasipima u odnosu na nestabilizirane slojeve koji se najčešće koriste. Razmatrani su različiti udjeli cementa te različiti vodocementni faktori u stabiliziranom sloju. Dobiveno je da primjena cementom stabiliziranih slojeva u nasipima može značajno smanjiti debljinu pojedinih slojeva te smanjiti slijeganja. Istraživanje je provedeno primjenom prema naprijed usmjerene neuronske mreže.

#### Ključne riječi:

željeznice za velike brzine, željeznički nasipi, prema naprijed usmjerena neuronska mreža (FFNN)

Wissenschaftlicher Originalbeitrag (Vorherige Mitteilung)

## <u>Gökmen Tayfur, İsfendiyar Egeli</u>

## Entwurf von Eisenbahndämmen durch die Anwendung neuronaler Netze

Die Grundanforderung beim Entwurf von Eisenbahndämmen für Hochgeschwindigkeitszüge sind eingeschränkte Werte der gesamten ungleichmäßigen Setzungen. In der vorliegenden Arbeit wird die Anwendung von mit Zement stabilisierten Unterbauschichten im Vergleich zu herkömmlichen Schichten ohne Stabilisierung untersucht. Der Gebrauch verschiedener Zementanteile und Wasserzementwerte in der stabilisierten Schicht ist betrachtet worden. Die Ergebnisse lassen darauf schließen, dass durch die Verwendung mit Zement stabilisierter Schichten, die Abmessungen der einzelnen Schichten bedeutend reduziert und Setzungen vermindern werden können. Für die gegebenen Untersuchungen sind vorwärts gerichtete neuronale Netze angewandt worden.

#### Schlüsselwörter:

Hochgeschwindigkeitseisenbahnen, Eisenbahndämme, vorwärts gerichtetes neuronales Netz (FFNN

# 1. Introduction

Travelling by high-speed railways is nowadays increasingly becoming a desirable mode of transport as such railway systems *inter alia* enable travelling at high-speed, combined with high level of safety and comfort. The design of highspeed railway embankments (HSRE) differs from the design of embankments for traditional railways in the following elements: greater dimensions are needed, more stringent material quality requirements are specified, and very small total settlement values are tolerated [1-6]. For the time being, high-speed railways are built in a small number of countries, mostly in Europe and Far East (China, Japan, South Korea). A typical cross-section of a traditional track structure (track with ballast prism) is shown in Figure 1, while a typical cross-section of track structure on hard bedding, which is most often used on high-speed tracks in Far East [1], is shown in Figures 2 and 3. A longitudinal section of high-speed railways is shown



Figure 1. Cross-section of a typical embankment used on European high-speed railways (traditional track structure)



Figure 2. Cross-section of a typical embankment used on high-speed railways in the Far East



Figure 3. Cross-section of a typical side cut used on high-speed railways in the Far East



Figure 4. Typical high-speed railway longitudinal section used in the Far East

in Figure 4. In both systems, the layer stiffness increased from the bottom layers toward the top layers. Standard track substructure layers are: natural soil, subgrade, stabilized layer, and load-bearing layer. In case of a traditional track structure, the load-bearing layer is topped by the subbase, ballast prism, and track structure. In case of a track on solid bedding, the loadbearing layer is topped by concrete bedding, which is the base for a particular type of a rigid track structure.

In practical terms, we are often faced with situations in which the width of the railway strip is not sufficient for the construction of 2:1 slopes. This is solved either by additional land purchase or by building stabilized embankment layers so as to permit steeper slope inclinations. Although the cost of building traditional structures is lower, the maintenance cost is higher. On the other hand, in case of tracks on hard base, higher construction costs are offset by lower maintenance costs [7]. The embankment construction system for tracks on hard base, destined for high speeds of travel, is analysed in this paper. In this system, one embankment layer that is normally not stabilized with cement (uncemented-prepared subgrade layer or U-PSL) is replaced by the load-bearing layer stabilized with cement (cemented-prepared subgrade layer or C-PSL) [1].

# 2. Experimental data

Characteristics of material used for preparation of the loadbearing layer not stabilized with cement (U-PSL) are presented in Table 1 [1]. Proportions of individual fractions in the grain size distribution of material used for preparation of the load bearing layer are presented in the table. Properties of this material are checked in accordance with national regulations [8-12] and international test standards [5, 6]. These are the Standard Proctor Test (ASTM D 698-00) to determine the maximum dry density (MDD) and the optimum moisture content (OMC), and the Plate Bearing Test (ASTM D 1196-93, 2004), where the maximum particle size should be smaller than 100 mm. The laboratory test requirements are such that the relative compaction with respect to maximum dry density (MDD) from the Standard Proctor Test ( $\rho_{a}$ ) should not exceed 95 % and the vertical Elastic modulus from the Plate Bearing Test (E.) should not be greater than 80MPa. Results of laboratory tests conducted on a typical U-PSL material (obtained locally) are given in Table 2 [12].

Locally obtained Turgutlu medium sand, and the Type-1 Portland cement (ASTM C150, 1994), were used to establish appropriate mix proportions at two water-cement ratios (40 %, 50 %) with five cement content values (10, 15, 20, 25, 30 %) for laboratory samples. Three different diameter cylindrical samples were prepared in steel molds and were then water-cured for 7 and 28 days, before being subjected to the unconfined compression strength testing aimed at determining their uniaxial compressive strength values (according to local standards TS EN 12390-2, 2002; -3, 2003) [8-12]. Design requirements (ie. the limiting values) of the mix are given in Table 3 [13].

Table 1. Limit grading values for material to be placed on embankment as a load bearing layer

Grain Size <sup>(*)</sup>	Percentage passing				
P(2D)	100				
P(D <sub>max</sub> )	100-99				
P(D)	99-85				
P(D/2)	84-55				
P(D/5)	60-31				
P(D/10)	49-23				
P(D/20)	40-17				
P(D/50)	31-11				
P(D/100)	22-8				
P(D/200)	16-6				
P(D/500)	9-3				
P(D/1000)	6-2				
$\label{eq:max_star} \begin{array}{l} {}^{(^{\circ})} \mbox{Note: D} = \mbox{nominal grain size} \\ D_{max} = 1.25D, \mbox{if D} \geq 50 \mbox{ mm} \\ D_{max} = 1.58D, \mbox{if D} < 50 \mbox{ mm} \end{array}$					

Table 3. Design values for the prepared mixes of C-PSL

Name	Value
Slump	70 mm
Max. size of aggregate	50 mm
Mixing water and air content	depends on w/c
Water/Cement ratio (w/c)	0.4 - 0.5
Cement content [%]	10, 15, 20, 25, 30 %
Coarse aggregatecontent	depends on w/c

Table 2. Laboratory test results for material used in embankment layers not stabilised with cement

Tested property	Used method	ASTM-D	Value
Particle Size Analysis	Wet Sieve Analysis	ASTM-D 422-63	-
The laboratory Compaction Test	Standard Proctor Method	ASTM-D 698-00	W <sub>opt</sub> =13.6 [%] γ <sub>drymax</sub> =19,994 [kN/m³]
Specific Gravity of Soil Solids	Pycnometer Method	ASTM-D 854-02	2.65
Determination of Water Content	Oven Dried Method	ASTM-D 2216	3.3 [%] Laboratory conditions
Classification of Soil for Engineering Purposes	USCS	ASTM-D 2487-00	SP
Coefficient of Permeability	Falling Head Method	ASTM-D 5084-03	10 <sup>-3</sup> [m/s]
Maximum Index Density	Vibration Table Method	ASTM-D 4253-00	-
Triaxial Compression Test	Consolidated-Drained (CD)	ASTM WK3821	c=7 [kPa] θ=37 [°]
Direct Shear Test	Consolidated-Drained (CD)	ASTM-D 3080-04	c=7 [kPa] 0=36.88 [°]

Three sample sets were prepared and, at that , the first group (group A) was prepared as a small sample size (D=4 cm, H=8 cm), the second group (group B) as a medium sample size (D=8 cm, H=16 cm), and the third group (group C) as a large sample size (D=10 cm, H=20 cm). Thus, three sets with three specimens in each set were prepared. The first set was tested after 7 days of water curing, and the other two sets were tested after 28 days of cure. The total of 180 specimens were prepared. These specimens were divided into three groups, each with five different cement contents (10 %, 15 %, 20 %, 25 %, 30 %) and two different water-cement ratios, w/c (0.4 and 0.5). Thirty specimens tested after 28 days of cure, and thirty specimens tested after 7 days of cure, were used for the unconfined compressive strength testing. The testing was conducted at the IYTE-MAM Laboratory using the universal (static) testing machine. As the analysis does not include the material aging effect for the composite materials subjected to long term dynamic load, further research might be oriented toward preparation of the same samples to be tested under dynamic load (using dynamic uniaxial or triaxial testing machines) which would simulate dynamic train loads. Experimental test results are given in Tables 4 and 5.

# 3. Settlement analyses

According to Far-Eastern HSR design practice, considering the design speed ranging between 200 - 400 km/hr, and under a passing wheel load of about 200 kN, two settlement requirements are given [1];

- In any lateral section of the track (Figs. 2 and 3), the maximum long term total top-of-rail ( $\Delta z$ ) settlements, or the maximum long term differential settlements between rails, should not exceed 2 mm.

Sample group	<b>D</b> [cm]	H [cm]	w/c	Cement content [%]	<b>Cure</b> [days]	Stress at failure [MPa]	Elastic modulus [MPa]	Strain at failure [%]
1A	4	8	0.5	15	28	2,009	42,410	4,736
1B	8	16	0.5	15	28	2,945	50,460	5,835
1C	10	20	0.5	15	28	2,008	40,310	4,982
2A	4	8	0.5	20	28	6,108	103,200	5,919
2B	8	16	0.5	20	28	8,055	109,460	7,359
2C	10	20	0.5	20	28	11,870	145,680	8,148
3A	4	8	0.5	10	28	1,243	25,290	4,916
3B	8	16	0.5	10	28	1,990	37,030	5,373
3C	10	20	0.5	10	28	2,669	44,620	5,982
4A	4	8	0.5	25	28	11,016	205,480	5,361
4B	8	16	0.5	25	28	15,734	289,850	5,428
4C	10	20	0.5	25	28	11,017	181,160	6,081
5A	4	8	0.5	30	28	0.547	271,840	4,788
5B	8	16	0.5	30	28	0.767	317,590	5,171
5C	10	20	0.5	30	28	1,121	329,650	5,324
6A	4	8	0.4	15	28	1,002	18,210	5,201
6B	8	16	0.4	15	28	1,441	25,480	5,354
6C	10	20	0.4	15	28	2,519	43,470	5,495
7A	4	8	0.4	20	28	4,247	92,140	5,109
7B	8	16	0.4	20	28	5,588	110,510	5,257
7C	10	20	0.4	20	28	8,920	171,080	5,214
8A	4	8	0.4	10	28	12,201	11,250	4,960
8B	8	16	0.4	10	28	14,836	14,790	5,188
8C	10	20	0.4	10	28	15,902	20,660	5,424
9A	4	8	0.4	25	28	10,919	232,800	4,690
9B	8	16	0.4	25	28	12,284	229,000	5,364
9C	10	20	0.4	25	28	13,126	240,290	5,462
10A	4	8	0.4	30	28	15,078	313,820	4,805
10B	8	16	0.4	30	28	17,952	353,470	5,079
10C	10	20	0.4	30	28	21,208	421,260	5,035

Table 4. Experimental data (first sample group)

Table 5. E	Experimental	data	(second	sample	group)
------------	--------------	------	---------	--------	--------

Sample group	<b>D</b> [cm]	H [cm]	w/c	Cement content [%]	<b>Cure</b> [days]	Stress at failure [MPa]	Elastic modulus [MPa]	Strain at failure [%]
1A	4	8	0,5	15	7	1,456	25,409	3,541
1B	8	16	0,5	15	7	2,162	49,826	3,923
1C	10	20	0,5	15	7	2,753	77,271	3,260
2A	4	8	0,5	20	7	4,506	87,754	6,063
2B	8	16	0,5	20	7	7,277	131,308	6,607
2C	10	20	0,5	20	7	9,783	226,891	6,343
ЗА	4	8	0,5	10	7	0,911	10,964	4,834
3B	8	16	0,5	10	7	1,412	12,713	4,810
3C	10	20	0,5	10	7	2,073	15,723	5,218
4A	4	8	0,5	25	7	7,757	217,926	4,491
4B	8	16	0,5	25	7	12,52	282,256	4,719
4C	10	20	0,5	25	7	15,137	376,064	4,968
5A	4	8	0,5	30	7	12,213	376,527	3,428
5B	8	16	0,5	30	7	14,977	548,467	3,826
5C	10	20	0,5	30	7	16,11	801,863	4.20
6A	4	8	0,4	15	7	1,063	18,068	4,559
6B	8	16	0,4	15	7	1,49	31,713	4,889
6C	10	20	0,4	15	7	2,48	59,204	4,874
7A	4	8	0,4	20	7	4,363	84,910	4,099
7B	8	16	0,4	20	7	5,643	133,558	5,051
7C	10	20	0,4	20	7	8,98	259,747	4,349
8A	4	8	0,4	10	7	0,383	135,882	4,076
8B	8	16	0,4	10	7	0,694	229,160	4,320
80	10	20	0,4	10	7	0,828	314,502	5,929
9A	4	8	0,4	25	7	7,129	245,592	3,718
9B	8	16	0,4	25	7	11,85	390,017	4,022
90	10	20	0,4	25	7	13,323	590,704	4,494
10A	4	8	0,4	30	7	7,909	10,615	3,691
10B	8	16	0,4	30	7	13,077	11,553	3,956
10C	10	20	0,4	30	7	11,367	13,395	4,127

 In any 20 m long longitudinal section of the track (Figure 4), the maximum total long term settlement, or the maximum long term differential settlement, should not exceed 10 mm.

Long term usually refers to a service life (during operation and after construction) of 30 years [1]. Settlements are calculated from the "natural subgrade level" up to the top of rails, by adding the individual settlements of each layer, except the contribution from steel parts and their connections (i.e. rails and fasteners), which is considered as "rigid" and ignored.

If the above mentioned settlement limits are exceeded, serious safety risks are incurred, which may result in derailing. On the other hand, constructing the rail-track structure on a very rigid (non-settling) embankment may cause reduced riding comfort and increased "wear-and-tear" of tracks with train undercarriage, including wheels.

The embankment settlement analysis is made in the paper, and the possibility of replacing the mechanically stabilised 2 m thick load-bearing layer having  $E_v$ = 80 MPa, with the cement stabilized load-bearing layer, is considered. The thickness and elastic modulus values of the cement-stabilized load-bearing layer were

varied, while moduli were obtained from uniaxial compression testing (Tables 4 and 5) conducted after 7 and 28 days of cure. The program Plaxis V8 (2D) FEM was used in the embankment settlement analysis, using the following procedure:

- a) First, the railway embankment was modelled for high speeds (Figure 5).
- b) In a typical HSRE, there are four different fill layers, above the natural subgrade layer and below the "top-of-rails" (Figures 2-4). Although all these layers have different material properties and conditions (Table 6), they were considered in the analyses as non-changing. The properties of individual layers were introduced in the analysis because the aim was to replace a usual 2 m thick unstabilized layer (U-PSL) (which is one of four sublayers) with the cement stabilized layer (by varying layer properties depending on cement content) (C-PSL) whose values are shown in Table 7. For simplicity reasons, it was assumed that the ground water table (GWT) is below the natural subgrade level.
- c) A finite element mesh was generated for the HSRE model considered (Figure 6).



Figure 5. HSRE model adopted

Table 6. Material properties for the adopted HSRE model

Parameter	Name	Slab track layer	Bearing base layer	Prepared subgrade layer	Subgrade layer
Material model		Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb
Material behavior		suh	suh	suh	suh
Soil (dry) unit weight [kN/m³]	γ <sub>unsat.</sub>	19	18	17	16
Soil (wet) unit weight [kN/m³]	$\gamma_{sat.}$	22	21	20	19
Horizontal Permeability [m/s]	k <sub>h</sub>	0.2	3x10 <sup>-2</sup>	10-3	10-5
Vertical Permeability [m/s]	k <sub>v</sub>	0.2	3x10 <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-1</sup>	10-5
Young's Modulus [kPa]	E <sub>v(ref)</sub>	300x10 <sup>3</sup>	120x10 <sup>3</sup>	80x10 <sup>3(*)</sup>	60x10 <sup>3</sup>
Poisson's Ratio	υ	0.40	0.35	0.30	0.2
Cohesion [kPa]	C <sub>ref</sub>	1	1.5	7	5
Friction angle [°]	Ø'	40	38	37	35
Dilatancy angle [°]	Ψ	-	-	2	-



Figure 6. Finite element mesh

d) Six elastic moduli were obtained for the cement-stabilized bearing layer (C-PSL). These moduli are presented in Table 7. Two water-to cement ratios (0.4 and 0.5) and three cement contents (C=20, 25, 30 %) were varied to obtain the elastic modulus. Tests done for the lower cement contents of 10 % and 15 % were discounted, as they did not meet the criteria of equalling or exceeding the required minimum elastic modulus value of 80 MPa.

Table 7. C-PSL	elastic	moduli	variation	with	water/cement	(w/c)
ratios	and cem					

Elastic modulus, E <sub>v</sub> [MPa]						
	Cement content					
V/C	20 % 25 %		30 %			
0,5	258.94	261.46	450.47			
0,4	352.86	545.20	597.48			



Figure 7. Load model 71 and vertical load in the longitudinal direction of a embankment



Figure 8. Load Model SW/O and vertical load in the longitudinal direction of a embankment

e) The EN 1991-2: Eurocode 1 was used for the static load intensity (q<sub>vk</sub>), [2]. In this standard, the rail traffic load is defined by means of load models. Instead of the load model 71 with distance (1) having no limitation (but usually taken as 21.5m), a more reliable load model SW/0 (Figure 8) was used to represent the static effect of vertical load caused by normal rail traffic on most railway embankments. It should be noted that the analysis does not include the material aging effect for composite materials subjected to long term dynamic load. Characteristic values of "a", "c" and "1" for the model SW/0 and the model 71 are given in Table 8 [1, 2].

Load model	<b>q</b> <sub>vk</sub> [kN/m]	<b>a</b> [m]	<b>c</b> [m]	<b>(1)</b> [m]
SW/0	133	15.0	5.3	-
71	-	-	-	21.5

f) Finally, total settlements were calculated using the Plaxis-FEM program by varying six different laboratory obtained elastic moduli of the cement-stabilized layer (C-PSL), as listed in Table 5. Results compared with the minimum value of  $E_v$ =80 MPa for the cement-stabilized layer are presented in Table 9.

Table 9. Total settlement results for each of the six cement stabilised mixes (C-PSL) which replace the load-bearing layer (U-PSL) 2 m in thickness

	Uncemented prepared subgrade layer (U-PSL)	Cemented-prepared subgrade layer (C-PSL)						
Layers	<b>ΔS</b> , E=80 MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <sub>2</sub> v/c=0.4 C=20 % E=352.9MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <sub>3</sub> v/c=0.4 C=25 % E=545.2 MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <u></u> v/c=0.4 C=30 % E=597.5 MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <sub>5</sub> v/c=0.5 C=20 % E=258.9 MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <sub>6</sub> v/c=0.5 C=25 % E=261.5 MPa [mm]	<b>ΔS</b> <sub>7</sub> v/c=0.5 C=30 % E=450.5 MPa [mm]	<b>TTS</b> <sup>(*)</sup> [mm]
Ballast	1.993	0.401	0.253	0.231	0.552	0.542	0.309	< 2
Sub-ballast	1.986	0.391	0.247	0.225	0.540	0.531	0.303	< 2
Prepared Subgrade	1.875	0.377	0.240	0.219	0.518	0.512	0.293	< 2
Subgrade	1.598	0.345	0.219	0.197	0.475	0.467	0.268	< 2

(\*)<u>Notese</u>:

 $\Delta S_{1-7}$  - Plaxis-FEM calculated Total Settlements

TTS - Tolerable Total Settlement

C - Cement content

Results have shown that all total settlement values are within the limiting values and that, consequently, each of these mixes could be used. On the other hand, layer thicknesses meeting the same stiffness requirements can be estimated by making a linear correlation between the elastic moduli of six cement-stabilized mixes (C-PSL) and the elastic modulus of the 2 m thick U-PSL,. Our estimation has shown that the layer thickness can be reduced by up to 70 % by using the highest E, value shown in Table 9.

# 4. Application of neural networks

## 4.1. General information on artificial neural networks

An artificial neural network can identify a relationship among specimens and is therefore capable of solving a whole array of complex problems such as specimen recognition, nonlinear modelling, and classification [14]. A three-layered feedforward artificial neural network (ANN or FFNN) is applied in most cases, Figure 9. In this network, input data ( $x_i$ ) are fed into input layer neurons which, in turn, pass them on to hidden layer neurons ( $z_i$ ), after multiplication with the weight coefficient of connection among neurons ( $v_i$ ) [15]:

$$net_j = \sum x_i v_{ij} - b_j \tag{1}$$

A hidden layer neuron adds up the weighted input received from each input neuron  $(x_i v_{ij})$ , associates it with a bias  $(b_j)$ , and then passes the information  $(net_j)$  to the non-linear tangent hyperbolic transfer function [15]:

$$f(net_j) = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-2net_j}} - 1$$
 (2)

The learning of ANNs is generally accomplished by the back propagation which is the most commonly employed supervised training algorithm. The objective of this algorithm is to obtain the optimal values of the connection weights that would generate an output vector  $Y = (y_{\gamma}, y_{2}, ..., y_{p})$  as close as possible to the target values of the output vector  $T = (t_{\gamma}, t_{2}, ..., t_{p})$  with the selected accuracy. The optimal weights are found by minimizing a predetermined error function (*E*) of the following form [15]:

$$E = \sum_{p} \sum_{p} (y_{i} - t_{i})^{2}$$
(3)

where  $y_i$  = component of the ANN output vector  $Y_i$   $t_i$  = component of the target output vector  $T_i p$  = number of output neurons; and P = number of training patterns.

In the back propagation algorithm, the signals first flow in forward direction where model produces outputs for each pattern, and then the error is computed. The computed global error is then propagated back towards the input layer during which the values of the weights are updated. The gradient-descent method, along with the chain rule of Građevinar 4/2013

differentiation, is employed to modify the network weights as follows [15]:

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{ij}(\mathbf{n}) = -\delta \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{ij}} + \alpha \, \Delta \mathbf{v}_{ij}(\mathbf{n} - 1) \tag{4}$$

where  $\Delta v_{ij}(n)$  = weight increments between nodes *i* and *j* during the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iteration,  $\Delta v_{ij}(n-1)$  = weight increments between nodes *i* and *j* during the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration; d = the learning rate and a = the momentum factor (inertia).

The learning rate (*d*) is used to increase the likelihood of avoiding the training process getting trapped in a local minimum instead of a global minimum. When it is trapped in local minimum, the solution often follows a zigzag path while trying to reach a minimum error, and this may slow down the training process. In such situations, the momentum factor (*a*) can be employed to speed up training in very flat regions of the error surface and help prevent oscillations in the weights [15].

The network learns by adjusting biases and weights that link its neurons. Before training, weights and biases of the network must be set to small random values. Also, due to the nature of the sigmoid function used in the back-propagation algorithm, all external input and output values should be standardized before passing them into a network. Without standardization, large values of input into an ANN would require application of extremely small weighting factors, and this could cause a number of problems [15]. Since tangent hyperbolic transfer function extends to minus infinity and plus infinity asymptotically, it never reaches -1 or +1. Therefore, in most cases it is better to compress the data into the -0.9 and +0.9 range. Eq. (5), which compresses all the data into the range of -0.9 to +0.9, was employed in this study for standardization [15]:

$$z_{i} = \left[\frac{1.8 \left(x_{i} - x_{\min}\right)}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}\right] - 0.9$$
(5)

where  $x_{maxi}$  and  $x_{mini}$  are the maximum and minimum values of the *i*<sup>th</sup> neuron in the input layer for all feed data vectors, respectively. The details of ANN are given in [15].



Figure 9. Three-Layer Feed-Forward Artificial Neural Network

# 4.2. Model Predictions

Three layer feed forward ANN is constructed in order to predict strain, stress, and elasticity in this study. The constructed ANN is used for the three cases where the input vector is the same and the output variable is different for each case, as presented in Figure 10. Out of the total data base, 45 data sets (training stage) were allocated for network training, while 15 data sets (testing stage) were allocated for efficiency evaluation.



Figure 10. Constructed ANN model

The number of neurons in the input and output layers is fixed due to the specified number of input and output parameters. On the other hand, the number of neurons in the hidden layer, the number of epochs (training cycle), the learning rate, and momentum factor values, are decided on by the trial and error procedure. By changing values of these parameters, and keeping track of the error values in each tried case, optimum values of these parameters were selected. This procedure naturally involves many trial combinations, and it would be impossible to present all trial combinations and resulting errors in the scope of this paper. The parameter values that yielded the lowest error for the prediction of stress, strain, and elasticity, are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10. Parameters used in the ANN mode	el
---	----

Parameters	Parameter values
Number of neurons in hidden layer	11
Learning rate	0.12
Momentum rate	0.24
Number of epochs	900

The back propagation training algorithm that employs the gradient decent method is employed in this study. Since the results obtained are satisfactory, we did not try other training algorithms (such as the radial bases NN, conjugate gradient NN, etc) or other ANN configurations such as those involving more than one hidden layer. Sixty sets of data were

employed for the ANN training and testing in this study. As presented in Tables 9 and 10, the results imply that the number of data was satisfactory. It is of course desirable to have more data: a greater number of data would positively influence the generalisation capabilities of the trained neural network. Yet, it is not uncommon in literature that a similar [16, 17] or lower [18 - 20] number of data sets is used quite successfully.

Table 11 summarizes error measured for the training stage for three cases of stress, strain, and elasticity predictions. For each case, 900 iterations were performed, after which the error function was flat, and there was no need for additional iterations. Table 12 shows model performance results over the testing periods for the stress, strain and elasticity. As can be seen, the model predicts values with low errors and high correlation coefficients for the three cases.

Table 11. Prediction results for training stage

Training assessment Studied parameters	MSE	R²
Stress [MPa]	0,051	0.94
Strain [%]	0,011	0.96
Elastic modulus [MPa]	0,014	0.95
<b>MSE</b> - Mean Square Error		

R<sup>2</sup> - Determination coefficient

Table 12 Prediction	results for	testing stage	(generalization)
Tuble 12. Treatenon	i courto i or	count stuge	Scheranzation

Evaluation of generalization Studied parameters	MSE	MAE	R <sup>2</sup>
Stress [MPa]	8.91	0.20	0.92
Strain [%]	0.12	0.31	0.96
Elastic modulus [MPa]	340	139	0.90
MSE - Mean Square Error MAE - Mean Absolute Error R <sup>2</sup> - Determination coefficient		<u>.</u>	

Bar graphs for predicted measured data for each case are presented in Figures 11—13. As shown in these figures, values predicted according to the model are satisfactory for all cases. Sensitivity analysis has revealed that the proportion of cement is the most sensitive parameter for stress and elasticity. On the other hand, the age is the most sensitive parameter for strain.



Figure 11. ANN predictions of measured stress values (testing stage)



Figure 13. ANN predictions of measured elastic modulus values (testing stage)

# 5. Conclusions

The use of the cement-stabilized subgrade layer instead of the uncemented one in HSR embankments is studied in this paper based on numerical (FEM) and soft computing feed forward neural network (FFNN) methods. The design of HSRE



Figure 12. ANN predictions of measured strain values (testing stage)

involves high geometric design standards, good material properties, and very small total differential settlements. Compared to conventional railway fills, HSR embankments are designed to be much stiffer. Laboratory experiments were carried out to determine failure parameters of cemented soil mixes.

The following conclusions can be made:

- Out of ten soil-cement mixes prepared, only six meet stiffness requirements (Table 5).
- The layer thickness can be reduced by about 70 % if C-PSL is used in HSR embankments.
- Artificial neural networks FFNN can be used to predict essential stiffness properties of cement-stabilized HSRE layers (i.e. stress, strain and elastic modulus values).
- Good results have been obtained through the use of back propagation training algorithms using the gradient decent method and tangent hyperbolic activation function in ANN.
- Further studies should be directed toward analysis of aging effect for composite materials subjected to longterm dynamic loads.

## REFERENCES

- Egeli, I.: Engineering Consultancy-Internal Report: 'Design and Construction Aspects of High-Speed Train Railway Embankments-An Overview', Taiwan High Speed Railway Construction Project, Taiwan, ROC, 2003.
- [2] EN 1991-2: Eurocode 1: Actions on Structures Part 2: Traffic Loads on Bridges, European Standard: CEN-Cenelec, Brussels, Belgium (www.cenelec.eu), 1991.
- [3] Mundrey, J.S.: Railway Track Engineering, 3rd. Ed., Tata-McGraw-Hill Publ.Co. Ltd., N. Delhi, India, 2008.
- [4] Seling, E.T., Waters, J.M.: Track Geotechnology and Substructure Management. Thomas Telford Services Ltd., London, England, 1994.
- [5] UIC Report: High Speed Principles and Advantages, International Union of Railway, Paris, France (www.uic.org), 2007.

- [6] UIC Code-719R: Earthworks and track-bed layers for railway lines, International Union of Railway, Paris, France (www.uic. org), 1994.
- [7] Lakušić, S., Vajdić, M.: Overview of modern track structures on solid bases, GRAĐEVINAR 63 (2011) 2, 125-134.
- [8] TS 500: Betonarme Yapıların Hesap-Yapım Kuralları(in Turkish), TSE (www.tse.org.tr), Ankara, Tr., 2000.
- [9] TS 706: Beton Agregaları (in Turkish), TSE (www.tse.org.tr), Ankara, Turkey, 2009.
- [10] TS 802: Beton Karısımi Tasarım Hesap Esasları (in Turkish), TSE (www.tse.org.tr), Ankara, Turkey, 2009.
- [11] TS EN 12390-2: Laboratuvarda Dayanım Deneylerinde Kullanılacak Deney Numunelerinin Hazırlanması ve Kürlenmesi, TSE (in Turkish), Ankara, Turkey, 2002.

## Gradevinar 4/2013

- [12] TS EN 12390-3: Deney Numunelerinde Basınç Dayanımının Tayini, TSE (in Turkish), Ankara, Tr., 2003.
- [13] Usun, H.: Laboratory Study for Determining Geotechnical Engineering Properties of Cement Treated and Untreated Backfill Soils used in High Speed Railway Embankments, M.Sc. Thesis, Dept.of Civil Engineering, Izmir Institute of Technology, (www.iyte.edu.tr), Urla-zmir, Turkey, 2010.
- [14] Farrokhzad, F., Choobbasti, A.J., Barari, A.: Determination of liquefaction potential using artificial neural networks, GRAĐEVINAR 63 (2011) 9/10, 837-845.
- [15] Tayfur, G.: Soft Computing in Water Resources Engineering, WIT Press, Sauthampton, United Kingdom, 2012.
- [16] Tayfur, G.: Artificial neural networks for sheet sediment transport, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 47 (6): 879-892, 2002.

- [17] Tayfur, G., Singh, V.P.: Predicting longitudinal dispersion coefficient in natural streams by artificial neural network, Journal Hydraulic Engineering, 131 (11): 991-1000, 2005.
- [18] Akkurt, S., Ozdemir, S., Tayfur, G., et al.: The use of GA-ANNs in the modelling of compressive strength of cement mortar, Cement and Concrete Research, 33 (7): 973-979, 2003.
- [19] Tayfur, G., Singh, V.P.: ANN and fuzzy logic models for simulating event-based rainfall-runoff, J. Hydraulic Engineering, 132 (12): 1321-1330, 2006.
- [20] Erdem, T.K., Tayfur, G., Kirca, O.: Experimental and modeling study of strength of high strength concrete containing binary and ternary binders, Cement Wapno Beton, 16 (4), 224-237, 2011.